

Soberanía y derecho internacional

Importantes aportaciones de la jurisprudencia internacional se encuentran en los siguientes asuntos acerca de la soberanía y el derecho internacional:

Asunto del Buque Wimbledon.

Application Instituting Proceeding of 16 January 1923
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/02_Wimbledon_Requete.pdf

Application to Intervene of 22 May 1923
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/02_Wimbledon_Requete_Intervetion.pdf

Judgment of 28 June 1923 (Question of Intervention by Poland)
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/01_Wimbledon_Arret_06_1923.pdf

Judgment of 17 August 1923
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/03_Wimbledon_Arret_08_1923.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Schücking
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/04_Wimbledon_Opinion_Shucking_08_1923.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by MM. Anzilotti and Huber [http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/05_Wimbledon_Opinion_Anzilotti et Huber 08 1923.pdf](http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_01/05_Wimbledon_Opinion_Anzilotti_et_Huber_08_1923.pdf)

C.P.J.I., Avis, Statut de la Carélie Occidentale.

Advisory Opinion of 23 July 1923 (including the text of the joint declaration by Judge Weiss, Judge Nyholm, Judge de Bustamante and Judge Altamira)
[http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_B/B_05/Statut de la Carelie orientale Avis consultatif.pdf](http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_B/B_05/Statut_de_la_Carelie_orientale_Avis_consultatif.pdf)

Request for Advisory Opinion
[http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_B/B_05/Statut de la Carelie orientale Avis consultatif 1.pdf](http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_B/B_05/Statut_de_la_Carelie_orientale_Avis_consultatif_1.pdf)

C.P.J.I., Arrêt, Affaire du Lotus

Judgment of 7 September 1927 http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/30_Lotus_Arret.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Loder
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/31_Lotus_Opinion_Loder.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Weiss
http://www.icjci.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/32_Lotus_Opinion_Weiss.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by Lord Finlay

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/33_Lotus_Opinion_Finlay.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Nyholm

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/34_Lotus_Opinion_Nyholm.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Moore

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/35_Lotus_Opinion_Moore.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Altamira

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/36_Lotus_Opinion_Altamira.pdf

Annex http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_10/37_Lotus_Annexe.pdf

C.P.J.I., Avis, Affaire de la Commission Européenne du Danube

Advisory Opinion of 8 December 1927

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_B/B_14/01_Commission_europeenne_du_Danube_Avis_consultatif.pdf

Observations by M. Nyholm

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_B/B_14/02_Commission_europeenne_du_Danube_Opinion_Nyholm.pdf

Observations by M. Moore

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_B/B_14/03_Commission_europeenne_du_Danube_Observations_Moore.pdf

Dissenting Opinion by M. Negulesco

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_B/B_14/04_Commission_europeenne_du_Danube_Opinion_Negulesco.pdf

Annex

http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_B/B_14/05_Commission_europeenne_du_Danube_Annexe.pdf

C.I.J., Arrêt, Affaire du droit de passage en territoire indien (Right of Passage over Indian Territory - Portugal v. India)

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=ce&case=32&code=poi&p3=4>